IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO. 16 OF 2007

SHRI. VISHNU GOVIND NAIK ... Applicant
Versus
HARISCHANDRA D. THAKUR AND ANR. ... Respondents

Mr. S. D. Lotlikar, Senior Advocate with Ms. Sapna Mordekar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. P. S. Rao, Advocate for the Respondents.

Coram:- N. A. BRITTO, J.

Date:- 27th July, 2007

P.C.:-

After the hearing of this review petition on merits, the learned Senior Counsel on behalf of the Applicant/Plaintiff makes a statement that he wants to withdraw the application for review as well as the oral request made to withdraw the suit.

Allowed to be withdrawn. Application dismissed as withdrawn.

The learned Counsel on behalf of the Respondents submits that withdrawal be allowed only in case the costs are imposed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in my view, this is a fit case to impose costs of Rs.5000/- to be paid by the Applicant/Plaintiff to the Respondents/Defendants. The same shall be deposited in this Court within a period of 10 days from today and if deposited they shall be paid to the Respondents/Defendants.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

RD.